



CWP No. 19048 of 2024

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(118)

CWP No. 19048 of 2024

Date of Decision : 08.08.2024

Manpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. A.K. Walia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that he is working as a Warder with the respondents and vide impugned order dated 31.07.2024 (Annexure P-3), he has been transferred from District Jail Sri Mukatsar Sahib to Central Jail, Hoshiarpur on administrative ground.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by the said transfer, the petitioner has been posted at a distance of more than 200 kilometers. Learned counsel submits that petitioner's wife is also working in District Sri Mukatsar Sahib, hence, without considering the fact that the case of the petitioner is a couple case, the petitioner has been posted outside Sri Mukatsar Sahib, which is contrary to the Transfer Policy.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised before the Additional Director General of Police, Jail as well as before the Government by filing representations dated 07.11.2023 and 03.08.2024 (Annexures P-5 and P-6)



CWP No. 19048 of 2024

respectively and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case respondent No. 1 pass any order on the representations of the petitioner in a time bound manner so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 03.08.2024 (Annexure P-6) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same are still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of two weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

August 08, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No