



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

CRWP-7667-2024

Date of decision: 09.08.2024

Komal and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. S.K. Sirsa, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6, who are averse to their live in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 aged about 18 years and petitioner No.2 aged about 20 years, are in a live in relationship, however, the private respondents who are family members of petitioner No.1, have not been able to accept their live in relationship as a result of which they have been continuously extending threats of dire consequences to them. Resultantly, a representation dated 03.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) was also made to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Police District Fatehabad, for protection of their life and liberty but in vain. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Police District Fatehabad to look into their representation dated

**CRWP-7667-2024**

03.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. On a pointed query put to the learned counsel for the petitioners as to whether any case stood registered against petitioner No.2 for kidnapping/abduction of petitioner No.1, he has categorically replied in the negative. On a further query put to the learned counsel as to what was the age of petitioner No.1 since in the memo of parties it had been mentioned that she is about 18 years of age, he has drawn the attention of this Court to Annexure P-1 wherein the date of birth of petitioner No.1 stands reflected as 22.03.2006.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On asking of the Court, Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

6. In view of the above, without commenting upon the status of live in relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Police District Fatehabad to look into the representation dated 03.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

09.08.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No