



CWP-19367-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(120)

CWP-19367-2024

Date of Decision : August 12, 2024

Dalijeet Kaur

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Raman Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is working on part time basis for the last 23 years but still, her services have not been regularized though the benefit of regularization of service to a part time employee has already been given by the respondents, who is similarly situated employee and therefore, the respondents are liable to be directed to consider the claim of the petitioner under appropriate instructions including the instructions dated 04.03.1999 (Annexure P-3) for regularizing the services of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 04.07.2024 (Annexure P-11) which is

**CWP-19367-2024****2**

still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Arun Gupta, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 04.07.2024 (Annexure P-11) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

August 12, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No