



CRWP-7738-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CRWP-7738-2024

Date of Decision:- 12.08.2024

Istkar and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Harpreet S.Rakhra, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Istkar and Samriyana have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to official respondents No. 1 to 4 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondent No.5 as they are in live-in relationship with their own sweet will.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. Petitioner No.1 is aged about 26 years, copy of his aadhar card is Annexure P-1. Petitioner No. 2 is aged about 27 years, copy of her aadhar card is Annexure P-2. She is a married woman having two children who are residing with her husband (respondent No.5). They are in live-in relationship. Petitioners also moved representation to Superintendent of Police, Panipat dated 05.08.2024 which is Annexure P-3. Petitioners are apprehending threat from respondent No.5 who is husband of petitioner No.2.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A.G.,

**CRWP-7738-2024****-2-**

Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering the nature of litigation no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondent.

6. I have considered facts narrated in the petition, according to which both petitioners are major and are in live-in relationship, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondent No.5. It is duty of police to protect life of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 to 4 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 05.08.2024 (Annexure P-3) and considering threat perception if any, pass appropriate orders.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality of their relationship and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

12.08.2024*Sunil Devi***(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No