



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7629-2024

Date of Decision:- 08.08.2024

MANPREET KAUR AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Ms. Sukhveer Kaur, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Manpreet Kaur and Vishnu have filed present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. Date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 01.01.1987. Copy of her Aadhar Card is Annexure P-1. Date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 01.01.1998. Copy of his Aadhar Card is Annexure P-2. Petitioner No.1 was married with respondent No.4 in the year 2015 and out of their wedlock girl and boy namely Sheerat and Shagunpreet were born but respondent No.4 is hardcore drug addicted due to which she could not live in her matrimonial home. Now petitioners are in live-in relationship. Petitioners moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, District Gurdaspur, which is Annexure P-3. They are apprehending threats from respondent Nos.4 to 6, who are husband, mother-in-law and brother-in-law of petitioner No.1.



3. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

4. I have considered facts narrated in the petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they are residing against wishes of petitioner No.1's husband and his family as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 6. It is duty of police to protect life of petitioners. Therefore, respondent Nos.2 and 3 are directed to consider the representation of petitioners dated 31.07.2024 (Annexure P-3) and protect life of petitioners from the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6 considering threat perception.

5. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality and validity of relationship and it will not protect them in case there is violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

6. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

08.08.2024

snd

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.

Whether reportable: Yes/No