



CRWP-7681-2024

249

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-7681-2024
Decided on: 03.12.2024

Roop Singh @ Roop @ Kalu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
497	07.12.2005	City Bhiwani	302, 34 IPC and 25 of Arms Act

1. Seeking quashing of the order dated 23.05.2024 passed by Divisional Commissioner, Karnal and further to release the petitioner/convict on parole for 10 weeks in the FIR captioned above, the petitioner has come up before this court by filing the present petition under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India.
2. Counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioner’s parole was rejected only because of imposition of Model Code of Conduct during the Assembly elections in the State of Haryana and in case, petitioner wants parole, he has to apply for the same again afresh by mentioning period and grounds on which he wants parole.
3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that concerned authority be directed to decide petitioner application which he is filing shortly in a time bound manner without being influenced by the previous rejection.
4. Given above, petitioner is at liberty to file a fresh application for parole in



CRWP-7681-2024

accordance with law by mentioning all his grounds and period of parole. In case, petitioner files such application for parole, the concerned officer shall decide the same before 15.12.2024, by passing a speaking and reasoned order and shall communicate him as well as to one of his family members. Liberty reserved to petitioner to challenge the order, if adverse order is passed.

5. Petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

03.12.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.