

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(244/9)

**2024:PHHC: 029750
CWP-30383-2018
Date of Decision: 29.02.2024**

Devender Kumar Sharma & others

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL.

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Jasuja, Advocate for respondent
no.3-N.C.T.E.

Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate for respondent no.4.

VIKAS BAHL.J (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition challenge has been made to the action of the respondents to disallow the petitioners to appear for the PRT Level HTET Examination, 2018 on account of the fact that the petitioners are not qualified, although, they have done B.Ed. The petitioners have relied upon the amendment dated 28.06.2018 (Annexure P-2), whereby the N.C.T.E has while amending the earlier notification dated 23.8.2010 inserted certain clauses on account of which a person who has done B.Ed. in addition to other aspects is also qualified for appointment. It is the case of the petitioners that inspite of the said amendment the respondent-State has not considered the petitioners eligible to appear in the PRT Level HTET Examination, 2018.

2. Learned counsel for respondents have pointed out that in the present bunch of writ petitions the sole reliance has been placed upon the amendment dated 28.06.2018 to contend that the petitioners are eligible,

whereas the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the judgement dated 11.08.2023 passed in Civil Appeal No.5068-2023 and other connected matters titled as **Devesh Sharma Vs. Union of India and others** has set aside the said notification dated 28.06.2018 and has quashed the same. It is submitted that in view of the same the present petition is meritless and deserves to be dismissed.

3. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners. Even on the last date of hearing none had appeared on behalf of the petitioners.
4. It appears that petitioners in this petition are not interested to pursue the same. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

29.02.2024
lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No