



115

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-19382-2024
Date of Decision:05.09.2024

JASPAL SINGH Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Munish Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 29.10.2020 (Annexure P-18) passed by respondent whereby minor punishment has been awarded. He is further praying that period of suspension may be counted as duty period.
2. Notice of motion.
3. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab who on advance notice is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and waives service.
4. With the consent of both sides, the matter is taken up for final disposal.
5. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab submits that impugned punishment order could not be implemented because petitioner has already retired. The matter needs to be reconsidered and Competent



Authority is examining the matter. The question of substituted penalty, if any and status of suspension period would be decided within 4 months from today, in accordance with law.

6. In the wake of statement of Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab, the present petition stands disposed of. It is made clear that respondent shall decide the question of status of suspension period alongwith quantum of punishment.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

05.09.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>