



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

CWP-19725-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.08.2024

Sarvesh Kumar

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Permanent Lok Adalat, Public Utility Services, Kurukshetra and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Nikhil Chopra, Advocate and
Mr. Shubham, Advocate for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present petition is to the award dated 13.05.2024 passed by respondent No.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had to approach this Court since respondent No.1-Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), Kurukshetra simply allowed his application and did not call for the overhauled accounts but a liberty was granted to the petitioner to approach again in the event the needful is not done. He contends that there is every likelihood that the respondent-Bank may not supply a corrected overhauled statement which will add to multiplicity of litigation and require him to re-agitate the matter. He contends that he has otherwise no grievance with the award passed and all his grievances



stand redressed. On being confronted with as to how a petition based on a preemption of non-compliance would be maintainable to raise a challenge to the award, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the writ petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

14.08.2024
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No