

FAO-2350-2015

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(107)

FAO-2350-2015

Date of decision:- 24.04.2024

Mange Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present appeal filed under Section 37 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1966, appellant has sought for setting aside impugned judgment and decree dated 10.11.2014, passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Fatehabad, whereby, application for setting aside arbitral Award dated 06.02.2012, had been dismissed.

2. On 18.03.2024, this Court has passed the following order:-

“By inviting the attention of the Court to order dated 06.02.2012, State counsel submits that an order passed by Empowered Committee does not fall within the definition of “Arbitral Award” as laid down in Section 2(c) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. It is his argument that objections against the said order under Section 34 of the Act were not maintainable.

On request made by counsel appearing for the appellant, list on 24.04.2024.

To be shown in the urgent cause list.

No further adjournment shall be granted.”

3. Counsel for the appellant concedes that order passed by the Empowered Standing Committee is not an Arbitral Award, as defined in Section 2(c) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.
4. Consequently, there is no merit in the appeal, which is hereby dismissed.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

24.04.2024
Pardeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes/No