



CRM-M-38219-2024

-1-

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38219-2024

Date of Decision:- 10.09.2024

Gaurav Verma

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. H.S. Grewal, Advocate and
Mr. Raina S. Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

* * * *

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

Petitioner Gaurav Verma has filed anticipatory bail petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (Section 438 Cr.P.C. old) in case FIR No. 93 dated 14.06.2024 under Section 381, 406 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Division No. 5, Ludhiana (Annexure P-1).

2. Facts of the case are Dev Kabir Singh gave his statement that he is running business of Salons by name Hair Masters at Plot No. 8-A, Malhar Road, Sarabha Nagar, Ludhiana where he is having about 100 workers. One Narsi used to handle cash account, whereas, Sarabjot Singh and Gaurav were Stock Managers. Sarabjot Singh and Gaurav used to steal stock of Salon and used to sell to their customers. Whereas, Narsi used to steal cash amount. In this way, they have caused loss to the tune of ₹50



CRM-M-38219-2024

-2-

lakhs. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner denied the allegations. It is argued that said Narsi is still employed in Salon. Allegations are vague. Petitioner is ready to join the investigation. Therefore, his anticipatory bail petition may be allowed.

4. Bail petition is opposed by learned counsel representing State of Punjab. Detailed status report has been filed. It is pointed out that during investigation, complainant has produced invoices regarding purchase of material which was stolen by petitioner and his co-accused. Statements of co-workers have been recorded. Present petitioner is required to join the investigation.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record. Present petitioner is specifically named along with other co-accused. He was allegedly taking care of stock of Salon which he wrongfully sold to customers. As per allegations, present petitioner along with other co-accused caused loss to complainant to the tune of ₹50 lakhs. Matter is under investigation. Considering the specific allegations and nature of offence, it is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner Gaurav Verma and accordingly, present petition filed by him is dismissed.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

10.09.2024

lalit

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No