2024:PHHC:109538

127

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 2673 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.08.2024

Charan Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Gurpreet Singh Khaira, IAS, Director
State Transport, Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent.

****

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, by way of present petition, seeks initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent(s), alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 12.01.2024 **(Annexure P-1)** passed by this Court in CWP No. 21823 of 2017, the operative para-7 thereof is extracted hereunder:-

> *" 7. In view of above, the writ petition is partly allowed. The order of dismissal dated 08.06.2015 is set aside with directions to the respondents to treat the petitioner as compulsory retired from the same date. The consequential benefits of compulsory retirement shall flow in favour of the petitioner. The necessary exercise shall be conducted within three months henceforth. "*

[2] In response, learned counsel for the respondent files a reply way of compliance affidavit dated 23.08.2024 of the respondent-Sh. Gupreet

Dinesh Kumar
2024.08.29 12:51
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Singh Khaira, Director State Transport, Punjab, which is taken on record. He submits that a speaking order dated 08.08.2024 (Annexure R-1/T) stands passed in favour of the petitioner and the necessary benefits have already been deposited by the respondent-Department before the Treasury concerned, which shall be released in his favour within a period of ten days from today.

[3] In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition, as such, the same is **disposed off**.

[4] However, in case the payment is not released in favour of the petitioner within the aforementioned stipulated period, the respondent shall be liable to pay interest @ 9% per annum over the said amount and the petitioner shall also be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition. It is made clear that in an eventuality, wherein the petitioner seeks revival on account of default of the respondent, the officer concerned would be liable to pay a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner on the day of listing of such application.

[5] Rule stands discharged.

August 27, 2024 **(HARKESH MANUJA)**
'dk kamra' **JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned	*Yes/No*
Whether Reportable	*Yes/No*

Dinesh Kumar
2024.08.29 12:51
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document