

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

222

CWP-29880-2018 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 02.04.2024

Ramayan Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- None for the petitioners

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India with  
Mr. Arihant Goyal, Senior Panel Counsel,  
for Union of India-respondent Nos.1 and 2  
\*\*\*

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No.2 to extend their tenure upto 31.12.2019 as recommended by the Committee.
2. On 27.11.2018, the following order was passed:-

*“Inter alia relies the Minutes of the 5th Meeting of the Governing Council of National Institute of Solar Energy held on 3.8.2016 wherein it is observed that there is a proposal to engage the services of Research Fellows for longer duration of 5 years as accepted by the Joint Secretary (Scientific & Technical Activities) who observed that they may be retained initially for a period of 3 years extended by 2 years. In the said Meeting it was also decided that the institute may also initiate proposal for undertaking R & D projects and other programmes and make out proposals for 300 plus scientific and*

*technical manpower and required administrative manpower. The proposals then will be considered by MNRE and be taken up with Ministry of Finance for creation of posts in order to make NISE a global Centre of Excellence. DG can enhance contract period of deserving Research Scientists as per advice of JS&FA i.e. initially for a period of 3 years, extendable by 2 more years. Notwithstanding no steps have been taken to implement the said decisions.*

*Notice of motion for 07.03.2019.”*

3. Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India submits that petitioners participated in the regular selection process and one of the petitioners, namely, Umakanta Sahoo came to be selected. All the petitioners were appointed on temporary basis. Few of the petitioners preferred *CWP No.1849 of 2019* before this Court which came to be dismissed vide order dated 12.05.2022. The petitioners were relieved on 13.05.2022 and they have not challenged order of discharge, thus, present petition has rendered infructuous.

4. In the wake of statement of Mr. Satya Pal Jain, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous.

5. The petitioners are at liberty to move an appropriate application if their cause survives.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)  
JUDGE

02.04.2024  
Mohit Kumar

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |