



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38466-2024
Date of decision: 04.09.2024

JAGMENDER SINGH ...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA ...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Bikram Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Status report dated 21.08.2024 in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Hansi, already filed is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
224	25.06.2024	147, 148, 149, 323, 324, 341, 427, 506 of IPC and Section 326 of IPC added later on.	Sadar Hansi, District Hisar.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 20.08.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 20.08.2024.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions received from SI Vinod



CRM-M-38466-2024

2

Kumar, intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial investigation.


5. During the course of hearing on 20.08.2024 , following order was passed:

“ Heard.

It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner, having no criminal antecedents, has been falsely implicated in the case. He submits that though the petitioner has been named in the FIR, however, neither any specific role nor any injury has been attributed to him. He submits that the petitioner is ready to join investigation.

Per contra, learned State counsel on instructions from SI Vinod Kumar, P.S. Sadar Hansi, admits that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner and as per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner happens to be one of the accused, who had intercepted the victim. It is also not disputed that the petitioner is not having any criminal antecedents.

Considering the facts and without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also



CRM-M-38466-2024

3

abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

List on 04.09.2024. ”

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 20.08.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 20.08.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

04.09.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |