

RAJ KUMARIPetitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERSRespondents

BEFORE: HON’BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present : Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Kumar Dagar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Raj Duggal, Advocate and
Mr. Rajender Singh Duggal, Advocate for respondents
No.6 to 9.

Nidhi Gupta, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.6 to 9 has filed Vakalatnama in Court today. The same is taken on record.

2. Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No.1 to 5 for taking action in pursuance of FIR No.197 dated 19.07.2023 under Sections 120-B, 323, 354-B, 379-B, 452 and 506 IPC registered at Police Station Mundkati, District Palwal against accused persons i.e. respondents No.6 to 9 with a further prayer that the investigation of the case be transferred to some other police station for fair investigation and respondents No.1 to 5 be directed to arrest the accused persons i.e. respondents No.6 to 9 and file status report in the case.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from L/HC Usha submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous as the cancellation report has been prepared in the matter on 03.02.2024.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement made by the learned State Counsel, the present petition may be disposed of as infructuous.

5. Accordingly, in view of the statements of learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

21.03.2024
'Amit'

(Nidhi Gupta)
Judge

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>