



CWP-19486-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CWP-19486-2024

Date of Decision :13.08.2024

Baldev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Harbans Lal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG, Punjab
(Keeping in view advance copy served)

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner has already rendered more than two and half decades of service with the respondents but his services are not being regularized, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the claim of the petitioner raised in the present petition is covered by the judgment of the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP No. 19238 of 2013 titled as Amrish Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 26.02.2024** (Annexure P-11) and the respondents be directed to consider the claim of the petitioner also in terms of the said judgment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 18.06.2024

**CWP-19486-2024****-2-**

(Annexure P-8) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG, Punjab who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 18.06.2024 (Annexure P-8) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned State counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be conveyed to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

August 13, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No