



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CRWP-7542-2024

Date of decision: 07.08.2024

Arun and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of UT Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. A.S. Gulati, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Vasundhara Dalal Anand, Addl. P.P., UT Chandigarh.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6, who are averse to their live in relationship.

2. At the outset, learned Standing counsel appearing for U.T., Chandigarh, on instructions from ASI Ramlal, P.S. 49, Chandigarh, has brought to the notice of this Court that the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners are at variance with the prayer clause inasmuch as in paragraph 5 of the petition it has been mentioned that the parties are in a live in relationship, however, in paragraph 7 it has been mentioned that since petitioner No.2 was being forced to marry some boy not of her choice, she had chosen to go and live with petitioner No.1. Learned Standing counsel submits that in fact



petitioner No.2 is none other than the legally wedded wife of respondent No.4.

3. In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the instant petition.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn.

07.08.2024

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

Vinay

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No