



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 2976 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.08.2024

Avtar Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Anurag Verma, IAS, Principal Secretary, Urban
Development, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Ms. Harpreet Kaur Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, by way of present petition, seeks initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent(s), alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 14.09.2010 (Annexure P-1) passed in *CWP No. 16345 of 2009*, titled "*Kuljit Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another*". The operative paras-11 & 12 of the order dated 14.09.2010 reads as under:-

" 11. In order to find out a satisfactory answer to the factual issues raised hereinabove, I deem it appropriate to dispose of these petitions with a direction to the Deputy Commissioner, SAS Nagar Mohali to immediately constitute a Committee who shall undertake the exercise of identification of the bona fide 1984-riots-affected victims who are still deprived of the benefits of the Government Policies. After undertaking the said exercise, the District Administration is directed to approach the GMADA/PUDA to provide adequate dwelling units of the approved size so that the basic necessity of providing at least shelter to all the 1984-riots-affected victims is adequately take care of.

12. *The District Administration shall complete the entire exercise within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.*”

[2] It is averred in the petition that the present petitioner was petitioner No. 21 in *CWP No. 18676 of 2016*, titled “*Surjit Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and others*”, which was connected petition alongwith the case of *Kuljit Singh (supra)*, and disposed off vide order dated 08.09.2016 passed by the Hon’ble Division Bench.

[3] Upon advance notice, Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, appears/accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that consideration upon the representation(s) was made by the Committee and orders were passed thereon; though, there is no receipt / record pertaining to service of those orders upon the petitioner. He further submits that orders passed upon the representation(s) submitted by petitioner shall be served upon him within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

[4] In view of the aforesaid stand taken by learned counsel for the respondent(s), the present petition stands **disposed off**.

[5] However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to challenge the fresh order passed by the authorities in accordance with law and the respondent(s) shall not raise any issue of delay and latches as the order has been conveyed to the petitioner now.

August 30, 2024

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

'dk kamra'

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No