



202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38021-2024

Date of Decision:- 12.09.2024

BOOTA SINGH ALIAS BUTA SINGH

....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner – Boota Singh @ Buta Singh has filed the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.19 dated 26.02.2024 under Sections 323, 324 and 34 of IPC (Section 325 and 326 of IPC was added later-on) registered at Police Station Gidderbaha, Tehsil Gidderbaha, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

2. As per the facts of the case, FIR has been registered on the statement of Paramjeet Singh son of petitioner who stated that on 25.02.2024 at about 10 pm he was having dinner whereas his wife Pooja was working in the kitchen. His brother and father live in the same house. They came in *varandah* and started abusing him. He tried to make them understand. His brother Ravinder Singh was armed with gandasi and gave



blow on the back side of his head. His brother gave blows which hit on the back side of his head and right side of wrist. His father gave three iron rod blows which hit on his left elbow and both knees. His wife was also caused injuries. On hue and cry raised by them, their neighbours started gathering at the spot and thereafter both the assailants left the spot along with their weapons.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that petitioner has already joined the investigation and the alleged weapon of offence i.e. rod was also handed over to the police. He is still ready to abide by the terms of bail order. It is prayed that he may be granted relief of anticipatory bail.

4. Bail application is opposed by learned counsel representing the State of Punjab. It is pointed out that two of the injuries were found to be grievous in nature. It is conceded that petitioner has joined the investigation and rod has been recovered.

5. Considering the aforesaid factual position, no purpose would be served by sending petitioner behind the bars. He has already joined the investigation and fully cooperated. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, anticipatory bail filed by petitioner is allowed. In case petitioner is arrested, he be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer subject to the condition that petitioner will join the investigation as and when required. He will not tamper with or interfere with the investigation and will not leave the country without prior permission as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

6. The petition is accordingly accepted.

7. My observations are made for the disposal of this petition and



it will have no bearing on the merits of case.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

12.09.2024

snd

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable: Yes/No