



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-37925-2024

Date of Decision : 10.09.2024

SAJJAN SINGHPetitioner(s)
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANARespondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Himmat Singh Deol, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 14.08.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-
- “2. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.393 dated 28.11.2023, under Sections 147, 148, 149, 307, 323, 427, 435, 447, 506 of the IPC, and, Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at P.S. Kasola, District Rewari.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, although the petitioner is alleged to have fired a gunshot towards the complainantRajbir, however, this allegation stands belied from the medico-legal report of the complainant, inasmuch as it clearly depicts that he has not suffered any gunshot injury. He further submits that, since the main accused Rajesh Chugh and Rakesh Kumar have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by the Hon’ble Supreme Court, and, seven of the petitioner’s co-accused have also been enlarged on regular bail by this Court, therefore, the petitioner also deserves the concession of anticipatory bail.
4. List on 10.09.2024.
5. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”
2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by SI Sanjay, stated that pursuant to the making of the

hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 14.08.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

6. All pending application(s) stand **disposed** of accordingly.

September 10, 2024

dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable. :	Yes/No