



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.120

CWP-18733-2024
Date of Decision: 06.08.2024

Satbir Singh Chahal and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Arvind Galav, Advocate for the petitioners.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to re-fix the petitioners' pay by granting them benefit of annual increment in the last year of retirement.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in the last year of retirement, the petitioners have completed the requisite six months or more of satisfactory service, and became entitled to one annual increment on that basis. The law in this regard stands settled by the Supreme Court in *The Director (Admn. and HR) KPTCL and others v. C.P.Mundinamani and others*, 2023 SCC Online SC 401, and this Court in CWP No.8364 of 2024, titled *Suresh Kumar Singla and others v. State of Haryana and others*, decided on 16.04.2024. Learned counsel further contends that at this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case they are permitted to make representation(s) before the concerned respondent(s) raising the grievance as has been raised in this petition, and the same may be ordered to be decided within a specified period in terms of the aforementioned settled law.



4. Mr. Ravinder Singh Budhwar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioners within two weeks from today, the same will be decided by the concerned respondent no.3 and 4-Director, Secondary Education/Elementary Education, as the case may be, keeping in view the law laid down in *C.P.Mundinamani* case (*supra*) and *Suresh Kumar Singla* case (*supra*), by passing a speaking order thereupon within three months of receiving the representation.
5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
6. Ordered accordingly.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

06.08.2024
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No