



122

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38460-2024

Date of Decision:- 22.11.2024

Sonu Kumar

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. K.S.Brar, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. It is argued that on the day of alleged occurrence which took place on 16.03.2024 at village Sitto Gunno at about 9/10:00 PM, petitioner was present in PGI, Chandigarh and regarding this he is having clip of CCTV footage. However, this fact is neither mentioned in the petition itself nor any such CCTV footage is annexed with the petition.

2. At this stage, learned counsel for petitioner seeks permission to withdraw present petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking appropriate direction for constitution of SIT of some senior police officer for investigation of case FIR No.28 dated 17.03.2018 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 302, 307, 324, 323, 148, 149 of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Bahawala, District Fazilka with liberty to file fresh one with better particulars i.e. CCTV footage of PGI, Chandigarh.



CRM-M-38460-2024

-2-

3. In view of the statement, present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.
4. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

22.11.2024
sunil devi

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No