



**240 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-21219-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 27-11-2024**

SUNENA GOYAL AND ANR

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition has been filed by the two petitioners raising the claim for refixation of pay.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner No.1 has already been allowed the said benefit by the respondent vide order dated 13.09.2022, copy of which has been appended as Annexure R-1 but no decision has been taken qua petitioner No.2 hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to also consider the claim of the petitioner No.2 and pass appropriate order especially when the same relief has already been extended to the petitioner No.1.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that an appropriate order on the claim of the petitioner No.2 will also be passed within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt of copy of this order by keeping in mind the relief granted to petitioner No.1 vide order Annexure R-1 dated 13.09.2022 and in case it is found that the petitioner No.2 is similarly situated as petitioner No.1, the appropriate relief will be granted otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner No.2 in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

27-11-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO