



**CWP-21042-2022 (O&M) and
CWP-21072-2022 (O&M)**

-1-

**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**1) CWP-21042-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.05.2024**

**SATNAM ...PETITIONER
VERSUS**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

2) CWP-21072-2022 (O&M)

**RAJINDER PAL AND ORS. ...PETITIONERS
VERSUS**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. N.S.Swaich, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Teevar Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for respondent No.4.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This order shall dispose of the two above mentioned petitions as the similar question of law and facts are involved therein.

2. The petitioner(s) have filed the instant writ petitions under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners for grant of notional increment on completion of one full year of service for the pensionary benefits, in view of the Division Bench Judgment dated 15.09.2017 (Annexure P-1) rendered by the High Court of Judicature at Madras in CWP No.15732 of 2017, titled as P. Ayyamperumal Versus Central Administrative Tribunal, Madras Bench Chennai and others, which has also been upheld by the



**CWP-21042-2022 (O&M) and
CWP-21072-2022 (O&M)**

-2-

Petition (Civil) No.22008 of 2018, against which the review petition filed by the govt. has also been dismissed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India on 08.08.2019 (Annexure P-3) with the further prayer to grant all the consequential benefits to the petitioner(s).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s), inter alia, submits that the present writ petitions are squarely covered by the judgment passed by this Court in **CWP No.32598 of 2019**, titled as '*Gurdev Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another*' 2022(4) SCT 175, decided on 16.03.2022 which has been upheld by the Division Bench of this Court vide order dated 16.01.2024 passed in **LPA No.139 of 2022** titled as *The Principal Secretary, Govt. of Punjab, Department of Finance (F.D.) and others*'.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel representing the respondents controvert the contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner, however, he could not dispute the above said legal position despite his best efforts.

5. Consequently, the instant writ petitions are allowed in terms of the judgment passed in **CWP No.32598 of 2019, titled as 'Gurdev Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another', decided on 16.03.2022.**

28.05.2024
renubala

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No