

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 2512 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.02.2024

Tilak Raj and Another
... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Malkiat Singh
... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Akhilesh Vyas, Advocate
for the respondent.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. The petitioner herein is a tenant. He was ordered to be evicted by the Court of the Rent Controller against which he filed an appeal.
2. During the pendency of the appeal, the petitioner filed an application for permission to amend the written statement which was dismissed by the Appellate Authority. The correctness of the aforesaid order has been challenged in this revision petition.
3. The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that he wants to amend the written statement in order to assert that the landlord has sufficient accommodation as the building is a double storeyed building.
4. This Court has considered the submissions of the learned counsel representing the parties.
5. The petitioner has already been granted sufficient opportunity

to lead his evidence.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground is made out to interfere with the impugned order. Hence, the present revision petition is dismissed.

7. The miscellaneous application(s) pending, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

February 14, 2024
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No