



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CWP-18758-2024(O&M)
Date of Decision:22.10.2024

Tanu Yaduvanshi

.....Petitioner

Versus

O.P. Jindal Global (Institution of Eminence Deemed to be University) and
Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Reshabh Bajaj, Advocate and
Ms. Ojaswini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Danish Zubair Khan, Advocate with
Mr. G.S. Nehra, Advocate for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Hemen Aggarwal, Advocate for respondent No.3-UGC.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

CM-16120-CWP-2024

Present application has been filed for placing on record affidavit on
behalf of respondent No.3.

Application is allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

The accompanying affidavit on behalf of respondent No.3 is taken
on record.

Main case

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the
Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for
quashing the order dated 11.01.2024 (Annexure P-44) passed by respondent



No.2 rejecting the appeal filed by the petitioner, whereby the request for grant of incomplete grade in the subjects of 'Public International law, 'Company Law,' 'Law of Evidence', 'Constitutional Law II,' and 'Property Law' of third semester has been rejected and in addition to this six prayers are made.

2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2, is taken on record. A copy of the same has been supplied to counsel opposite.

3. Both the learned counsels for the parties are *ad idem* that out of the 07 grievances, 05 grievances have already been resolved leaving only two grievances unresolved.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that remaining two prayers are; firstly the complaint filed by the petitioner with the respondent-University regarding the allegation of sexual harassment has not been brought to a logical conclusion by the Committee. Secondly, the grievance pertaining to the complaint related to ragging, which also has not been brought to a logical conclusion.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-University stated that so far as the aforesaid grievance concerning the complaint of sexual harassment is concerned, the same has reached to a logical conclusion because the petitioner, who is the complainant, requested the Committee to withdraw the complaint and an order was also passed by the Chairperson of the respondent-Committee on 13.04.2023 and he has supplied photocopy of the same to this Court as well as to learned counsel for the petitioner. The same is hereby taken on record as Mark 'X'. While referring to the aforesaid order, learned counsel submitted that the matter was closed in this regard. He



submitted that with regard to the petitioner's second grievance concerning the complaint related to ragging, the matter is pending before the respondent-University Grants Commission for taking the final decision because the University Grants Commission is the competent authority to do the same.

6. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3-UGC submitted that in case such a complaint has been forwarded to the University Grants Commission, the same will be considered within a period of three months from today.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the above since both the remaining grievances have been satisfied, the subject matter of the present petition has become redundant. He, however, submitted that one more grievance which is left is pertaining to the exercise of option for remaining academic years and the University has given two options to the petitioner. Firstly, the petitioner may be permitted to take all the 10 semester exams this year and secondly the petitioner may attend the classes for one year and thereafter to take the examinations. He submitted that in view of the options given by the respondent-University, the petitioner will exercise the option within a period of one month from today by giving an application to the Dean of Academic affairs of the respondent-University.

8. Counsel for University on instructions from Professor Karan Latayan and Professor Arghya Kumar Nath appearing on behalf of the respondent-University submitted that in case the petitioner exercises either of the aforesaid options within a period of one month from today by giving an application to the Dean of Academic Affairs of the respondent-University, then the petitioner



will be permitted to proceed with the chosen option and the University will not raise any objection in this regard.

9. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

22.10.2024
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No