

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

103+236

CM-15173-CWP-2023 in/&  
CWP-22496-2021  
Date of Decision :11.03.2024

Vinod and others

...Petitioners

**Versus**

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

\* \* \*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

On 19.09.2023, following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though in the reply, it has been mentioned that the petitioner has not been given the extension any further and none has been appointed after the termination of the services of the petitioners, but as per his instructions, certain employees have been appointed on the same terms and conditions after terminating the services of the petitioner.

Let the petitioner file a detailed affidavit as to when such employees have been appointed on the same terms and conditions after terminating the services of the petitioner and whether while appointing those candidates, the posts were advertised or not, if yes, then whether the petitioner competed for the said post or not.

On his request, adjourned to 25.09.2023.

No details of the employees, who have been appointed after the termination of services of the petitioners has been brought on record.

On the last date of hearing, none appeared on behalf of the petitioners.

Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It can be safely presumed that the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the present remedy.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, stands disposed of.

March 11, 2024  
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No