

116.

2024:PHHC:099621



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7464-2024

Date of decision: 05.08.2024

Pooja and Another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH

Present: Mr. Armaan, Advocate and Ms. Deepti Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

GURBIR SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present criminal writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction to respondents No.2 to 4 to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.5 to 7.

2. For the aforesaid grievance, the petitioners have also submitted a representation dated 01.08.2024 (Annexure P-3) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, but till date, no action has been taken thereon.

3. It has been averred that the date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 05.01.2005 while date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 01.11.2003. Copies of Aadhar Cards of petitioner Nos.1 & 2 to this effect are attached as Annexures 1 & 2, respectively. Both the petitioners are major and have decided to live together in live-in relationship and due to regular threats, the petitioners are running here and there.

4. Respondents No.5 to 7 are against the relationship of petitioners and harassing the petitioners and threatened the petitioners to face dire consequences.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has also submitted that a

marriage is not a must for providing security to a couple in a ‘live-in relationship’ because protection qua life and liberty is sacrosanct and stands at the highest pedestal. He has also placed reliance upon orders passed by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court in *Sarabjeet Kaur and another Versus State of Punjab and others* in CRWP-102-2022, decided on 07.01.2022 and *Goutam Kumar and another Versus State of Punjab and others*, in CRWP-8088-2021, decided on 26.08.2021.

6. Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 4 only.

7. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of officials respondent Nos.1 to 4. Since no order prejudicial to the interest of the parties is being passed, therefore, there is no necessity of inviting any response.

8. At this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case as well as on the age and nature of the relationship between the petitioners No.1 and 2, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar to look into the matter and pass an appropriate order in the representation allegedly filed by the petitioners before him on 01.08.2024. It would be appreciated if necessary orders are passed within one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order. Till then, protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

9. However, if the petitioners are found to have been involved in any other case, then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.

10. Disposed of.

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

August 05, 2024

Poonam Sharma

POONAM SHARMA
2024.08.06 17:50
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No