



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120 CRM-M-38005-2024
Date of Decision: 08.08.2024

Mohit VigPetitioner
Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Sheena Khanna, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab (Through VC).

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
123	28.10.2022	Bilga, District Jalandhar Rural	420 IPC

Seeking quashing of above captioned FIR, petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 482 CrPC, on 15.07.2024.

2. Counsel for the State on instructions from SI Satpal Singh, submits that charges have been framed on 02.07.2024.
3. Given the above contention that charges have been framed means judicial order has been passed and report under Section 173 CrPC would merge in the said order and it is legally required to challenge the same.
4. Faced with the situation, petitioner’s counsel seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to challenge the order of framing of charges also.
5. Given above, petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for. It is clarified that filing and withdrawal of the present petition shall not come in the way in case, petitioner chooses to file fresh petition in accordance with law. It is

further clarified that time for which the present petition pending, shall not be counted for purpose of limitation. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

08.08.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable?	No