

213

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9216-2016
Date of Decision:15.02.2024

VINOD KUMAR SINGH Petitioner

Versus

SANT LONGOWAL INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING &
TECHNOLOGY LONGOWAL SANGUR Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the respondent.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. On 12.05.2016, the following order was passed:
- “Counsel inter alia contends that the petitioner has been working with the respondent-institute since August, 2001. The appointments have been made for the academic sessions from January to June and from July to December and the regular posts have not been filled up. Reference is made to the latest appointment letter dated 11.12.2015 (Annexure P-7) to submit that the period of appointment was from 04.01.2016 to 30.06.2016 at a consolidated salary of Rs. 20,000/-. Accordingly, it is submitted that deprivation of the salary during the vacation period is not justified and the petitioner would have to repeatedly compete again and again for appointment. Reliance is placed upon the judgment of the Apex Court in **Civil Appeal No. 4600 of 1985, Rattan Lal and others vs. State of Haryana** decided on 16.08.1995. Notice of motion for 20.07.2016.*

In the meantime, the petitioner will be permitted to continue in service beyond 30.06.2016 till further orders.”

2. Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate submits that petitioner is still working with respondent-institute and he may be extended minimum pay scale of dearness allowance as extended to similarly situated employees vide order dated 08.12.2023 by this Court in **CWP No.10815 of 2016**.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent does not dispute the fact that present case is squarely covered by order dated 08.12.2023 passed by this Court in **CWP No.10815 of 2016** titled as **Navneet Goyal and others Vs. Sant Longowal Institute of Engineering & Technology, Longowal, District Sangrur (Punjab)**.
4. The petition stands disposed of in terms of order dated 08.12.2023 passed by this Court in **Navneet Goyal** (supra). The petitioner is at liberty to avail remedies as permissible by law with respect to his regularization.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

15.02.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No