

CRM-M-37507-2024
Date of decision: 24.10.2024

...PETITIONER

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

Present: Mr. Prikshit Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Anshul Sharma, Advocate for complainant-Respondent No.2.
Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

1. The instant petition is preferred under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.0156 dated 07.06.2024 under Sections 323, 452, 354, 506, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Phillaur, District Jalandhar (Rural).

“The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0156 dated, 07.06.2024, registered at Police Station Phillaur, District Jalandhar (Rural), under Sections 323, 452, 354, 506, 148 and 149 of the IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner is a student of 20 years of age. No specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner and even no specific role has been attributed to him. He is the nephew of the complainant. He is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Having received advance copy of the petition, Ms. Himani Arora, A.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1-State and on instructions from ASI Jasbir Singh, submits that there is another criminal case pending



against the petitioner.

Adjourned to 24.10.2024 for service of respondent No. 2.

Counsel for the petitioner seeks an accommodation to have instructions regarding status of any other case against the petitioner.

In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Area Magistrate and also subject to the following conditions:-

(i) That the petitioner shall further make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(iii) That the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Trial Court/CJM concerned.”

3. Mr. Anshul Sharma, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant-respondent No.2 and filed his *vakalatnama* which is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jaswinder Singh, submits that in compliance of order dated 06.08.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the statement made by learned State Counsel, the order dated 06.08.2024, is made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions enumerated in Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

6. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

7. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be an



expression of opinion by this Court lest it may prejudice the trial. The learned trial Court is directed to proceed with the trial on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.

October 24, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No