

2024:PHHC:114740



287 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT**
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-37451-2024
Decided on:-03.09.2024

Deepak Kumar ParjapatPetitioner..

vs.

State of PunjabRespondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA
Present: Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate and
Ms. Bhavi Kapur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Jasleen Kaur Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, prayer has been made for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.294 dated 11.11.2022, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468 and 471 IPC, at Police Station Division 5, District Ludhiana.

2. The allegations levelled against the petitioner are that the petitioner by representing himself to be an authorized representative of Chaudhary Charan Singh University, Meerut (hereinafter referred to as the University) has been alluring young aspirants for getting admission in law course through correspondence. It has been further stated that the petitioner was even conducting classes of law at his own premises followed by delivering of lectures to the students in different batches, moreover, conducting semester-wise examinations at his own residence. Further, it has also been stated that the petitioner has even been providing forged degrees followed by forged enrollment certificates allegedly issued by the Bar

Council as well.

3. By filing status report by way of affidavit dated 02.09.2024, learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner while referring to the serious allegations levelled against the petitioner of he having prepared fake degrees/licenses, thus, she prays for dismissal of the present petition.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book. I find substance in the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In the present case, investigation already stands concluded with the filing of challan, followed by framing of charges on 30.07.2024 and trial is likely to take some time as none of the prosecution witnesses has been examined; all the offences are triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner is in custody for the past more than 05 months, besides it, there is no other case registered against him.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, this Court does not find justification to extend the incarceration of the petitioner any further.

7. In view of the above, but without commenting upon merits of the present petition, the same is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds / surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

8. It is made clear that this order may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

03.09.2024

sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/ No