



CWP-30025-2017

-1-

107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-30025-2017

Date of Decision: May 28, 2024

Vijay Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Devender Arya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Vikram Siingh, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

1. Petitioner has approached this Court praying for quashing the impugned order dated 20.09.2011, Annexure P-1, passed by respondent No.4, impugned order, dated 22.04.2016, Annexure P-2, passed by respondent No.3 and impugned order dated 16.11.2017, Annexure P-3, passed by respondent No.2 being unconstitutional, arbitrary and against the law and cannot be sustained in the eyes of law. It is also prayed to remand the case to respondent No.4 with a direction to decide the appointment of Lambardar of the village Palh, Tehsil and District Mahendergarh after inviting fresh applications by giving the fair opportunity to contest the same to the petitioner and other eligible residents of the village.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has died and hence the present petition has become infructuous



and may be disposed of as such.

3. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has since died, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, State is directed to take action in accordance with law.

May 28, 2024

meenuss

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?
- 2. Whether reportable ?

Yes/No
Yes/No