

Rashi Aggarwal... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Another...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. S.P. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
785	08.11.2023	City Palwal	120-B, 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC

Seeking quashing of FIR captioned above, the petitioner had come up before this Court under Section 528 BNSS.

2. The case of the petitioner is that she is wife of one of the accused against whom there are main allegations and she has been roped in only because she happens to be spouse of the main accused.
3. Counsel for the State and that of the complainant submits that it is not a case worth issuing notice and for calling of the reply. State counsel submits that the investigation is at initial stage and any interference at this stage is not warranted .
4. Given above, without commenting about the petitioner’s role, the present petition is disposed of with direction to the Investigator concerned to speed up the investigation in the present FIR and conclude the same preferably within a period of six months. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to come again in case the investigation is not concluded by 30.06.2025. In case, she is charge sheeted then she may avail legal remedy in accordance with law, by challenging the said charge sheet and disposal of present petition shall not come in her way. All pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

16.12.2024

Sonia Puri
Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No.