

227

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-28145-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:09.01.2024.

JOGINDER KAUR*Petitioner*
V/s.
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS*Respondents*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: Mr. Ranjit S. Dhiman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Mohit Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. N.P.S. Mann, Advocate for respondents No.3 to 5.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. It is noticed that the petitioner has preferred this Writ Petition primarily challenging his suspension and has also prayed for issuance of directions to the respondents to take action respondent No.5-Balwinder Kaur, Panchayat Secretary, Panchayat Samiti, Ajnala, District Amritsar, for illegally withdrawing the funds from the account of the Gram Panchayat, Ajnala, District, Amritsar and not depositing it in the Samiti's account and committing misappropriation.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents have initiated proceedings regarding the above aspect, but no final decision has been taken against respondent No.5. It is also stated that the petitioner has been already reinstated and his suspension has been revoked as the petitioner was exonerated in the enquiry.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, therefore, presses the second prayer made by him with regard to the issuance of the directions against respondent No.5.

4. I have carefully considered the submissions and find that the main prayer made by the petitioner is with regard to his suspension and the same has been revoked as the petitioner has been exonerated. In view thereof, the said prayer of the petitioner stands already allowed/redressed and the Writ Petition stands dismissed as having become infructuous.

5. With regard to the second prayer made by the petitioner for taking action against respondent No.5, this Court is of the firm view that proceedings under Writ jurisdiction are not meant for redressal of personal grievance against individuals. If at all the petitioner has any grudges, he may file a Civil Suit against respondent No.5, if so advised. Otherwise, if he has any complaint against any inaction on the part of the State Government, he has all the remedies under the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 2013 and take up all his pleas/allegations.

6. Having stated above, if such complaint is filed by the petitioner, it is left open for the authorities to take independent decision on the same without being influenced by the filing of the present Writ Petition.

7. The Writ Petition is **disposed of** in the aforesaid terms.

8. All pending applications in this Writ Petition stand disposed of accordingly.

January 9, 2024

Ess Kay

**[SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No