



CRM-M-37679-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

1

CRM-M-37679-2024

Date of Decision : **October 04, 2024**

JAGDEV SINGH ALIAS JAGGA

.....Petitioner

***VERSUS***

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

2

CRM-M-39722-2024

DEBU RAM

.....Petitioner

***VERSUS***

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. Ramandeep, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-37679-2024.

Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-39772-2024 (through VC).

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. A.S.Dhindsa, Advocate  
for the complainant.

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)**

1. Both the above mentioned petitions are amenable to be decided together as common relief of regular bail has been sought and, therefore, they are being taken up together for disposal.



2. Custody certificates *qua* the petitioners as well as reply dated 21.9.2024 by way of affidavit of Inderpal Chauhan, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Patran, District Patiala have been filed by the learned State counsel today in Court, the same are taken on record.

3. The learned State counsel submits that reply (supra) filed in CRM-M-37679-2024 be also read reply in CRM-M-39722-2024.

4. Through the instant petitions filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C., the petitioners pray for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.10 dated 4.2.2024, Under Sections 457, 380, 341, 34 of IPC (Challan presented under Sections 457, 341, 392, 379-B, 120-B, 411, 201 of IPC), registered at Police Station Ghagga District Patiala.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners in the asking for the relief (supra), submits that the petitioners have been implicated in the instant FIR on the basis of a secret information. They further submit that most of the amount, i.e. Rs.26 lacs out of total Rs.28 lacs, which is alleged to be stolen from the house of the complainant, have been already recovered during the course of investigation. They also submit that it is a case of no injury and finally they submit that the petitioners have suffered incarceration of more than 7 months and now the learned trial Court concerned has framed the charges.

6. The learned State counsel on the other hand submits that in the instant case, the petitioners after trespassing in the house of the complainant, that too, at night, have committed the offence of theft and not only that they also put the inhabitants of the house into danger, while committing the offence. He further submits on instructions imparted to him by the official



concerned submits that now the charges have been framed on 3.8.2024 and the prosecution has cited a total 27 witnesses.

7. Be that as it may, considering the fact that the petitioner-Jagdev Singh is having clean antecedents and petitioner-Debu Ram is involved in one other case, but that case is not of similar nature and in that case, he is on bail and also the fact that the petitioners have suffered incarceration of about 8 months and the trial is yet to begin, this Court deems it fit and appropriate to extend the benefit of regular bail to the petitioners. Therefore, the present petitions are **allowed**.

6. The petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on furnishing of bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of concerned Chief Judicial Magistrate/trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

7. However, anything observed here-in-above shall have no effect on the merits of the case and is meant for deciding the present petitions only.

8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

October 04, 2024  
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No