



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(115)

CWP No. 18302 of 2024
Date of Decision : 05.08.2024

Swaran Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Balwant Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the husband of the petitioner, namely, Nirmal Singh was similarly situated as one Baj Singh to whom benefit of a particular pay scale of ₹750 - ₹1050/- was allowed after rendering eight years of service and pay scale of ₹2520 - ₹4140/- after 24 years but the said benefit has not been given to the husband of the petitioner on the ground that he has died, whereas the respondents are liable to be directed to grant the benefit of said pay scale to the husband of the petitioner and then re-calculate the pensionary benefits.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 04.07.2017 (Annexure P-4) as well as in a representation dated 08.06.2018 (Annexure P-6) but the same are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the



respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 04.07.2017 (Annexure P-4) and representation dated 08.06.2018 (Annexure P-6) have been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same are still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and will be duly conveyed to her.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

August 05, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No