

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(210)

CWP-29787-2017

Date of Decision : March 19, 2024

Vinod

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mukul Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Vikas Lochab, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was recruited to perform the duties of Safai Karamchari in the Gram Panchayat, Sheikhpura and even if there is no post at Gram Panchayat, Sheikhpura, the petitioner can be adjusted in any other Gram Panchayat where the work of the post of Safai Karamchari exist.

2. Learned State counsel submits that in case the petitioner has any grievance with regard to adjustment in another Gram Panchayat, the petitioner can file appropriate representation and in case it is found feasible to adjust the petitioner in any other Gram Panchayat, the same will be done otherwise, due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order while deciding the said claim of the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate representation claiming the adjustment in any other Gram Panchayat.

4. Ordered accordingly.

March 19, 2024*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No