



CWP-29688-2017

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

(204)

CWP-29688-2017

Date of decision: - 13.05.2024

Samta Jain

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Gautam Pathania, Advocate  
for Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. TPS Chawla, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sukhandeep Singh, Advocate  
for Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate  
for respondents No.3 and 4.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the order dated 25.10.2017 (Annexure P-12) passed by respondent No.2 under the provisions of Maintenance and Welfare of Parents & Senior Citizens Act, 2007 in the light of order dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-6).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for respondents No.3 and 4, who were contesting respondents, have jointly submitted that the matter has been compromised, vide compromise

deed dated 29.04.2024, a copy of which has been handed over to the Court during the course of arguments, which is taken on record and has been marked as 'Mark A'. As per the said compromise, the dispute between the petitioner as well as her husband has been resolved and in para No.6 of the said compromise, it has been decided by the parties that the petitioner would vacate the second floor portion of the property in question at the time of recording of the statement of the second motion and hand over the vacant and peaceful possession of the same to the private respondent(s) and would not claim any right over the same in future. It is jointly submitted that in view of the said compromise, the present writ petition be disposed of in terms of the said compromise and both the parties be bound by the compromise.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances and also the fact that the compromise dated 29.04.2024 has been effected between the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the said compromise.

4. Needless to say that both the parties would be bound by the terms and conditions of the said compromise.

**May 13, 2024**  
naresh.k

**( VIKAS BAHL )**  
**JUDGE**

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |