

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(204)

CWP No. 17227 of 2023
Date of Decision : 01.04.2024

Dr. Parveen Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Arun Kumar Kaundal, Advocate for
Mr. Sudershan Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner was suspended vide order dated 01.08.2023 (Annexure P-1) and his headquarter was fixed at Nuh though, at the time of suspension, the petitioner was working at Naraingarh in District Ambala and the petitioner, had already given up his claim for quashing of the suspension order.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents while filing reply in Court today, submits that the petitioner has already been reinstated in service and has already been allowed to join at Naraingarh in District Ambala hence, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned state counsel that the petitioner has been reinstated in service without causing prejudice to the disciplinary proceedings pending against him and has been allowed to continue at Naraingarh in District Ambala, hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

4. Ordered accordingly.

April 1st, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No