



CRM-M-37478-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(208)

CRM-M-37478-2024
Date of Decision : 01.10.2024

Manpreet Singh @ Lucky Brar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raghav Garg, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. This Court, on dated 05.08.2024, had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.22 dated 16.02.2024, under Section 294-A of the IPC, Section 7(3) of the Lotteries (Regulation) Act, 1998, and, Section 13-A of The Public Gambling Act, 1867, registered at P.S. Division No.5, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner, in his asking for the relief (supra), submits that the petitioner is quite innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present FIR. Except the secret information, which constituted the bedrock for registration of the present FIR, the investigating agency is not seized of any cogent material/evidence, thus indicative of petitioner’s involvement in the alleged crime. Moreover, petitioner’s co-accused Aman Sharma and Akash, who were arrested



from the spot on 16.02.2024, have already been granted the relief of regular bail by the learned Magistrate concerned on 19.02.2024.

3. Notice of motion for 01.10.2024.

4. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.

5. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel, on instructions imparted to him by ASI Om Prakash, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner had joined the investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 05.08.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be

confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say, that anything observed hereinabove, shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion, on the merits of the case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

October 01, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No