



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18649 of 2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision :05.08.2024

Gagan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Chief Administrator and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present : Mr. Sunil Kumar Dhanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate for HSVP.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

The petitioner (Gagan son of Shri Bhagwan), has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to rectify their mistake or update the name of the petitioner which has been wrongly mentioned as Gagan Yadav S/o Sh. Shri Bhagwan Yadav instead of Gagan S/o Sh. Shri Bhagwan in the record of Plot No.669, Sector 5 Gurugram, same was already allotted to the petitioner (Annexure P-1), due to this mistake of the respondent, petitioner unable to register the above said plot Convinced deed in his favour and cannot raise the construction and suffering huge loss and petitioner already deposited bid amount of Rs. 1,86,09,500/- and Rs. 13,02,670/- and Rs.50,005/- for stamp duty (Annexure P-2 & 3), which is against the principal of natural Justice.

AND

In the alternative, issue appropriate direction to



the respondents to consider and take a final decision petitioner's representation dated 02.05.2024 (Annexure P-7) by passing a speaking and well reasoned, within some short stipulated period."

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition the petitioner had even represented to the respondents-authority vide representation dated 02.05.2024 (P7), but to no avail.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, is present in Court, for the respondents. At the outset, on instructions, he submits, for the matter is under active consideration of the competent authority, it would be expedient, if the petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the respondents-authority to deal with the concerns/grievances of the petitioner as sought to be raised in the petition. And, pass necessary orders on the representation submitted by him, in accordance with law. He further submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioner shall also be afforded an opportunity of hearing for which a formal communication shall be issued, well in advance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is agreeable to the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondents and submits that let this petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the competent authority be directed to decide the matter within a specified time.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within one week from today.



The petition is, accordingly, disposed of in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the respondents-authority shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned counsel for the respondents.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the claim/grievance of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

05.08.2024
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No