



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.18252 of 2024
Date of Decision: 02.08.2024

Rekha
.....Petitioner.
Versus
State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents.

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA*
 HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Ramesh Hooda, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for quashing the impugned notification dated 24.12.2007 (Annexure P-1) issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short 'the Act') and the notification dated 23.12.2008 (Annexure P-2) issued under Section 6 of the Act qua the land of the petitioner.

2. The petitioner is the subsequent purchaser after issuance of the notification (Annexure P-1) under Section 4 of the Act as she had purchased the property vide sale deed dated 26.02.2009 (Annexure P-3). In these circumstances, we are of the considered opinion that the petition as such seeking release of the land is not maintainable in view of the settled



proposition of law that after the notification under Section 4 of the Act having been issued on 24.12.2007, anybody, who purchases the land, that is his own risk. Reference in this regard can be made to the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Shiv Kumar and another Versus Union of India and others, 2020(1) RCR (Civil) 82*. Thus, we do not find it a fit case to issue notice of motion.

3. Resultantly, the present writ petition is dismissed in *limine*.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

August 02, 2024
Yag Dutt

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No