



CRWP-7411-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRWP-7411-2024

Date of Decision:- 02.08.2024

Yashoda and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Arun Kumar Gupta, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Yashoda and Aakash have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing official respondents No. 2 & 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 9 as they are in live-in relationship with their own sweet will.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. Petitioner No.1 is aged about 20 years and 06 months, copy of her aadhar card is Annexure P-1. Petitioner No. 2 is aged about 20 years 07 months, copy of his aadhar card is Annexure P-2. They are in live-in relationship. Petitioners also moved representation to Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar dated 28.07.2024 which is Annexure P-3. Petitioners are apprehending threat from respondents No.4 to 9 who are parents and relatives of petitioner No.1.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A.G.,

Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering the nature of litigation no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondent.

6. I have considered facts narrated in the petition, according to which both petitioners are major and are in live-in relationship, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No.4 to 9. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 & 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 28.07.2024 (Annexure P-3) and considering threat perception if any, pass appropriate orders.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality of their relationship and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

02.08.2024

Sunil Devi

(AMARJOT BHATTI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No