



CRWP-7416-2024

-1-

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7416-2024

Date of Decision:02.08.2024

Pooja Kapoor and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Prince Pasricha, Advocate, for the petitioners

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to the official respondents to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners by providing adequate security/police protection to the petitioners and also directing the official respondents, not to allow/let respondents No.4 and 5 or anybody else to interfere with the liberty and life of the petitioners or to harass them in any manner against their wishes or to cause any detriment to the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents no.4 and 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents no.4 and 5. Their marriage photographs as well as marriage certificate evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 29.07.2024 (Annexure P-6) to respondent no.2.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on

behalf of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, to decide the representation (Annexure P-6) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

02.08.2024

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Whether Reportable

:

:

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No