



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-37366-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.11.2024

KARMAJIT SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present : Mr. Deepak Grover, Advocate for
Dr. Sunil Mallan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J.

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.35 dated 20.03.2024 under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur.
2. The petitioner was directed to join the investigation, as per the order dated 23.08.2024 passed by this Court.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State confirms that the petitioner has joined investigation and also contends that his further custodial interrogation is not required.
4. The allegations against the petitioner are matter of trial.
5. In view of the reasons recorded in the order dated 23.08.2024 and



CRM-M-37366-2024 (O&M) - 2-

keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation, his further custodial interrogation is not required by the Investigating Agency, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 23.08.2024, granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

6. However, it is made clear that nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of the State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of the order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

19.11.2024
P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No