



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37656-2024

Date of decision: 15.10.2024

GEET KUMAR

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
155	01.07.2024	10, 24 of Immigration Act and Sections 406, 420, 506, 34 of IPC and Section 384, 328 of IPC added later on.	City Panipat, District Panipat.

2. Mr. Rajbir Yadav, Deputy Superintendent of Police along with the ASI Rajesh, is present in Court today.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 18.09.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 18.09.2024 .

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions received from ASI



CRM-M-37656-2024

2

Rajesh, intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. During the course of hearing on 18.09.2024 , following order was passed:

“ 4. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case simply because he happened to be the Director of Company. He submits that neither any amount was transferred in the account of the company of which the petitioner is Director nor any amount was given to the petitioner. He submits that as per the allegations, the complainant had paid the amount to Anil Kumar and Sandeep Kumar alias Sandy and no amount is alleged to have been paid to the petitioner. The petitioner is not having any criminal antecedents and is ready to join the investigation.

5. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant have not disputed the factual matrix to the effect that no amount has been transferred in the account of the petitioner.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case at this stage, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide



CRM-M-37656-2024

3

by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.

- 7. *List on 15.10.2024.*
- 8. *The concerned Deputy Superintendent of Police, who has submitted the reply, is required to be present on the next date of hearing. ”*

7. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 18.09.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 18.09.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 8. The petition stands allowed.
- 9. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

15.10.2024
kanika

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No