

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

221

CWP-11131-2022
Date of Decision: 07.03.2024

RACHIT SINGHAL

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Bakul Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Anuk Balian, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondents No.1 and 3.

Mr. Piyush Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Twin prayers made in the present petition are for seeking action against the officials of the Municipal Council, Kaithal and also for releasing the payment due to the petitioner against the bills raised by him for the works done.

Counsel for the respondent No.1-State as well as respondent No.2-Municipal Council, Kaithal have informed that the instant petition has become infructuous since pursuant to the complaint received, an inquiry was initially conducted by Vigilance Bureau and noticing a departmental lapse, recommendations were made for taking action on the departmental side. Whereafter, departmental proceedings were initiated against the erring officials but the charges could not be established and the officials were exonerated. Counsel for respondent No.2 –M.C. Kaithal states that the undisputed payments

against the bills have already been released in favour of the petitioner and that there are certain disputes with respect to the payments that have been withheld by the respondent-Department for which there is an appropriate grievance redressal mechanism as per the agreement executed between the parties.

In view of the above, the present petition is accordingly disposed of at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to the alternative remedies to pursue his subsisting grievance, in accordance with law and if so advised.

Disposed of accordingly.

MARCH 07, 2024
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No