



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37022-2024

Date of Decision : **01.08.2024**

PARAS HASIJA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Hamanjeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl.A.G., Haryana

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Apprehending arrest in case FIR No.86, dated 20.03.2024, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the IPC, the petitioner, a practising lawyer, has knocked the doors of this Court by filing the instant petition under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, thereby seeking the relief of pre-arrest bail.

2. The principal submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner is that, since petitioner's co-accused have already been extended the relief of interim anticipatory bail by this Court, therefore, the petitioner also deserves an alike relief.

3. This Court has examined the entire record and is of the view that the conduct of the petitioner does not deserve him to be released on anticipatory bail. The role assigned to the petitioner is vividly different than his co-accused, who have been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail, therefore, there does not arise any occasion for the petitioner to claim parity.

4. However, considering the fact that the petitioner is a practising lawyer and the source of the order, which is alleged to have been fabricated, is

yet to be ascertained during investigation, and that too, only after the petitioner joins the investigation, therefore, this Court is of the view that the petitioner's custodial interrogation is imperative.

5. Consequently, this Court directs the petitioner to, within 15 days from today, surrender before the learned trial court concerned and till then, his arrest shall remain stayed.

6. In case, the petitioner surrenders before the learned trial Court concerned within the stipulated period, and thereupon, makes an application before the latter for grant of regular bail, the latter shall make endeavour to decide the same within three days in accordance with law, but, after affording adequate opportunity of hearing to the opposite party.

7. However, in case, the petitioner fails to appear before the learned trial Court concerned within the stipulated period, the protection granted hereinabove regarding his arrest shall stand *ipso facto* vacated, without further reference to this Court. It is also clarified that the moment the petitioner surrenders, this order granting interim protection would cease to operate.

8. It is further clarified that the learned trial Court/Judge concerned shall, while deciding petitioner's regular bail application, if any moved, not get influenced by any of the observations made hereinabove by this Court while granting interim protection to the petitioner.

August 01, 2024
dharamvir/devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No