



CRM-M-37075-2024

133 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.37075 of 2024 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 01.08.2024

Rajpreet Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Shikhar Sarin, Advocate with  
Ms. Manveen Dhanjal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

| FIR No. | Dated      | Police Station        | Sections                     |
|---------|------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| 297     | 19.06.2022 | Palam Vihar, Gurugram | 120-B, 420 and 506 IPC, 1860 |

1. Seeking quashing of the FIR captioned above, on the basis of compromise with respondent No.2, the petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C..
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.2 is not traceable and as such notice be issued to the State.
3. State counsel submits that compromise is between the private respondents and petitioner and it is for the petitioner to produce respondent No.2-complainant and if he is unable to do so, the State should not be burdened to get into such private affair.
4. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty reserved to the petitioner to file fresh petition on merits because after settling the matter, the respondent-2 is not turning up to comply with the undertaking made in the said compromise.
5. Petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid. All pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE

01.08.2024

Sonia Puri  
Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether reportable: Yes/No.